IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

)	
)	
)	Case No. 9:14-cv-03582-TLW
)	Case No. 9.14-CV-03362-1LVV
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
_)	

ORDER

Plaintiff Michael D. James, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on September 9, 2014. (Doc. #1). This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, to whom this case was assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d), (D.S.C.). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this Court dismiss this action without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. (Doc. #14). Plaintiff filed a reply to the Report on December 5, 2014, acknowledging that he "should have []waited until after [his] disciplinary conviction have been [sic] overturned by the Administrative Law Judge" before filing this action. (Doc. #16). He states that it is "only wise for [him] to agree with the recommendation," and he asks the Court to dismiss his § 1983 claim without prejudice. Id.

9:14-cv-03582-TLW Date Filed 12/15/14 Entry Number 18 Page 2 of 2

The Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Report to

which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part,

the recommendations contained therein. 28 U.S.C. § 636. However, in the absence of objections

to the Report, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate

Judge's recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983). In such a

case, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed.

R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After carefully reviewing the Report in accordance with this standard, and in light of

Plaintiff's reply to the Report, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation is

ACCEPTED. (Doc. #14). This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance

and service of process. (Doc. #1).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

Terry L. Wooten

Chief United States District Judge

December 12, 2014

Columbia, South Carolina